

Project No. Request Associated Cases Council District School District Requested by Staff Reviewer	2006CP-12-06 Request to Amend the Bellevue Community Plan: 2003 Update 2006SP-093G-06 22 - Crafton 9 - Warden Barge Cauthen & Associates, Inc. Wood			
APPLICANT REQUEST	Approve A request to amend the Bellevue Community Plan: 2003 Update to go from Natural Conservation to Residential Low-Medium Density policy for approximately 141 acres for property located along Newsom Station Road and the Harpeth River.			
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	Staff held a community meeting on May 22, 2006 which was attended by three people. They were agreeable to the amendment proposal.			
Land Use Policies Natural Conservation (NCO)	NCO policy is intended for undeveloped areas with the presence of steep terrain, unstable soils, and floodway/floodplain. Low intensity community facility development and very low density residential development (not exceeding one dwelling unit per two acres) may be appropriate land uses.			
Residential Low-Medium (RLM)	RLM policy is intended to accommodate residential development within a density range of two to four dwelling units per acre. The predominant development type is single-family homes, although some townhomes and other forms of attached housing may be appropriate.			
ANALYSIS	The amendment site is a pocket of land that lies between I-40 and the Harpeth River. It contains a substantial amount of floodplain (approximately 62 acres) and was made part of the adjacent Natural Conservation policy area as a result. It also adjoins a Residential Low-Medium Density policy area, some of which has similar environmental constraints.			



The applicant has developed a site plan (see staff report for 2006SP-093G-06) that successfully responds to the various constraints of the site and preserves a very substantial proportion of the floodplain. These constraints include not only the floodplain but also a TVA easement and difficult access conditions. The applicant has obtained a small adjacent property that enables access to Newsom Station Road at a point to the east of the existing single-lane railroad overpass and the ultimate closure of a small section of Newsom Station Road that includes the problematic underpass. This will not only provide access to the site but also improve overall safety for motorists and pedestrians in the area.

Natural Conservation is intended for relatively large areas of widespread environmental constraints, which are typically expected to be relatively remote from urbanization and needed services. These Natural Conservation areas are to be rural in character, with sparse road networks, low population densities, and septic systems. In this instance, the site is at the edge of the Natural Conservation area, is proximate to urbanization with elements such as planned and existing commercial services and sewer, and contains adequate unconstrained land to develop a small neighborhood. It is therefore logical to consider a boundary adjustment between the two policy areas in this location.

Additionally, changing the policy to Residential Low-Medium Density will not remove the policy and regulatory protection of the floodplain. Land Use Policy Application, the countywide land use policy document, contains a section of General Principles that include environmental policies to cover constrained areas that have not been designated as Natural Conservation:

C. Areas With Sensitive Environmental Features

1. Areas Subject to Flooding

These policies are designed to encourage flood plain preservation and reduce pressure for modification and development of areas subject to flooding. The policies apply to the areas within all Structure Plan categories, except Natural Conservation (NCO), Downtown Core (DC), Central Business District (CBD), and older



traditional neighborhood areas that are subject to flooding.

a. Land Use

• Only low intensity, non-structural types of land uses are appropriate in areas subject to flooding.

b. Development Arrangement and Intensity

- Development should be clustered on the portion of the site that is not flood prone.
- In order to maintain water quality, facilitate flood control, and ensure public safety, the development potential for the flood prone portion of a site should be lower than it is for the developable portion of a site.

c. Natural Preservation

- In general, preservation of flood prone areas in their natural state is recommended.
- Disturbance and alteration is discouraged and should be kept to a minimum.

These policies effectively provide the same level of protection as is provided by Natural Conservation policy, but are designed to respond to primarily suburban settings where urban services and amenities are present as opposed to large expanses of land that are predominantly constrained and are discouraged from urbanizing. Because the site is adjacent to an urbanized area of similar development character to that proposed by the applicant and because the land use policies will continue to protect the constrained portion of the site, it is reasonable to extend the adjacent Residential Low-Medium density policy as per the applicant's request.





Project No.
Project Name
Council District
School District
Requested by

Deferrals

Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation

APPLICANT REQUEST Concept Plan

ZONINGR40 District

PLAN DETAILS

Site Access

Subdivision 2007S-035U-12 Turners Retreat

31 - Toler 2 - Brannon

Reece Turner et ux, owners, Dale & Associates, surveyor.

This request was originally scheduled for the March 8, 2007, Planning Commission Meeting, the applicant requested a deferral to the March 22, 2007, and again to the April 12, 2007, meeting to meet with the Councilmember and the Community.

Bernards

Approve with conditions, including the request for a sidewalk variance along the property frontage of Hill Road to provide the sidewalk in an alternate location.

A request for concept plan approval to create 9 lots on property located at 775 Hill Road, approximately 1,110 feet east of Franklin Pike Circle (9.83 acres), zoned One and Two-Family Residential (R40).

R40 requires a minimum 40,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 1.16 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.

The concept plan proposes nine single-family lots ranging in size from 23,966 sq. ft. to 27,980 sq. ft. The applicant proposes to use the cluster lot option provided for in Section 17.12.090 of the Code. The cluster lot option allows lots to be reduced in size by two base zone districts. Since the zoning is R40, lots 20,000 sq. ft. in size are permitted if the plan meets all requirements of the cluster lot option provisions.

Access is proposed on a new road, Turners Retreat Drive, from Hill Road. The new road is proposed to ends in a "T". Staff recommends that this street be stubbed at the property edge to provide a future connection to the east. Sidewalks are proposed for Turners Retreat Drive. The applicant is in discussions with Public Works to locate the required Hill Road



Open Space

sidewalks at a nearby alternative location that would be better served by a sidewalk at this time.

There is 22% usable open space proposed, which meets the 15% requirement for cluster lot option policy. The Commission's cluster lot policy requires common open space to have "use and enjoyment" value to the residents including recreational value, scenic value, or passive use value. Residual land with no "use or enjoyment" value, including required buffers and stormwater facilities, has not been counted towards the open space requirements.

Landscape buffer yards (Standard "C"- 20 feet) are required and proposed along the east, west and south perimeters of the property. There is open space proposed for the north of the property. The adjacent zoning is R40.

SOUTHEAST COMMUNITY PLAN

Residential Low Density (RL)

The land use policy for this property is the RL policy. This policy is intended to conserve large areas of established, low density (one to two dwelling units per acre) residential development. The predominate development type is single-family homes. In addition, there is a special policy on this and adjacent properties.

Special Policy Area 1

This special policy applies to the large lots along Hill and Baxter Roads: "The zoning for this special policy area should permit lot sizes no smaller than 40,000 square feet in order to most closely conserve the developed character of this area. In addition, the lot design of any permitted resubdivision should protect views from the street and from existing buildings by preserving the trees that line the roads and by orienting new homes so that their rear yards are not in a direct line of sight from the fronts of existing homes."

PLAN DETAILS

The cluster lot provisions of the zoning code will allow the lots of the subdivision to be located in such a way as to provide substantial setback of the housing adjacent to Hill Road that will match the character of this area and will orient the new homes so that their rear yards are not in direct line of sight from the fronts of existing homes. The dwelling units closest to Hill Road will need to include architectural features, such as a door, side or front porch, and/or a dormer that addresses Hill Road.



Sidewalk Variance

Archaeological Resources

The applicant has had numerous meetings with the community to design the subdivision to meet the special policy. The original plan called for two duplex lots and open space at the south end of the subdivision. The proposed plan moves the open space to the north end adjacent to Hill Road to increase the setbacks off Hill Road and the duplex lots have been eliminated. In addition, the proposed Turners Retreat Drive has been realigned to prevent a direct view into the subdivision.

The trees that line Hill Road are to remain at this time. These trees are in the right-of-way, so future road projects may require their removal. Sidewalks are required on Hill Road. This would be the only section of this portion of Hill Road with sidewalks and would likely require the removal of the trees. The applicant has requested that they provide the sidewalk at an alternate location in the area where a sidewalk would be more useful at this time. The applicant and Councilmember are in discussions with the Public Works Department to determine whether there is an alternative location.

A variance is required if a sidewalk is not to be built on Hill Road. There are sufficient topographic constraints on the property such that staff can recommend approval of a variance if a condition is included with the variance that the sidewalk be relocated to a nearby alternative location that would be better served by a sidewalk at this time. If a suitable alternative location is identified, staff recommends that a variance be granted and that the required sidewalk along Hill Road be placed in the alternate location.

The State Archaeologist conducted a preliminary visit to this property and concluded that this area is probably outside of the boundary of the prehistoric Native American site uncovered during the adjacent Hemmingwood Subdivision. The State Archaeologist did determine that this property has the potential to yield archaeology resources and recommended that a qualified professional archaeologist conduct an evaluation of the site as part of the preliminary planning.



The concept plan meets the intent of the special policy by providing substantial setback of the homes adjacent to Hill Road in order to match the character of this area. In addition, the concept plan meets the requirements for a cluster lot subdivision and includes sidewalks on Turners Retreat Drive. Further, the applicant is in discussions with the Public Works Department to determine if there is an alternative location for the required sidewalk on Hill Road. Relocating the sidewalk will allow for the preservation of most of the trees along Hill Road. For these reasons, staff recommends approval with conditions, including the request for a sidewalk variance along the property frontage of Hill Road to provide the sidewalk in an alternate location.
The developer's construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions. A temporary "hammer-head" turnaround located at the property boundary would be acceptable under hardship conditions. Permanent cul-de-sac constructed per ST-331.
Approved.
No part of any building shall be more than 500 ft from a fire hydrant via an approved hard surface road. Metro Ordinance 095-1541 Sec: 1568.020 B Fire hydrants should flow a minimum of 1000 GPM's at 20 psi residual flow at the most remote hydrant. Fire Hydrants shall be in-service before any combustible material is brought on site. Dead end fire mains over 600 feet in length are required to be no less than 10 inch in diameter. If this is to be a public fire main, a letter from Metro Water is required excepting the length and size. Since this is a Public water main and there will be suffeciant water flow, A



letter from Metro Water excepting a smaller size is required.

All dead end roads over 150 ft. in length require a 100 ft. diameter turnaround, this includes temporary turnarounds.

The T - type turning arrangement shown on plan shall be at least 50 feet long, measured from centerline of road and be at least 20 feet wide. It shall also approved by Public Works.

CONDITIONS

- 1. The dwelling units adjacent to Hill Road shall include specific architectural features (such as a door, side or front porch, and/or dormers) designed to ensure the dwelling is properly presented to Hill Road.
- 2. An evaluation of the site for archaeological resources shall be conducted by a qualified professional archaeologist prior to the submission of a Development Plan.
- 3. The applicant must submit, for the approval of the Urban Forester, a tree protection plan. The plan must identify the best management practices to ensure the existing tree line along Hill Road as shown on the concept plan is adequately protected during the construction period.
- 4. Provide for a future connection to the east with a temporary turnaround that meets Public Works Standards.
- 5. A sidewalk variance along the property frontage of Hill Road is recommended for approval with the condition that a sidewalk be provided in an alternate location.
- 6. The requirements of the Metropolitan Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met.



Project No. Project Name Council District School District Requested By Deferral	Subdivision 2007S-048U-13 Ridgeview Final Plat 33 - Duvall 6 - Johnson Ridgeview Heights LLC, owner, Dale & Associates, surveyor. Deferred from the March 22, 2007, Planning Commission Meeting
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Withers Disapprove
APPLICANT REQUEST Final Plat	A request for final plat approval to create 1 lot on a portion of property located at Bell Road (unnumbered), approximately 515 feet north of Bell Forge Lane (5.2 acres), zoned Mixed Use Limited (MUL) and located within the Ridgeview Urban Design Overlay.
ZONING MUL District	<u>Mixed Use Limited</u> is intended for a moderate intensity mixture of residential, retail, restaurant, and office uses.
UDO District	An <u>Urban Design Overlay</u> is a zoning tool that requires specific design standards for development in a designated area. A UDO is used to either protect the pre-existing character of the area or to create a character that would not otherwise be ensured by the development standards in the base zoning district.
PLAT DETAILS	This request would allow the applicant to record a final plat for one lot for future development in order to transfer ownership of the lot. This property is located within an Urban Design Overlay, and the normal practice is that a project receives final site plan approval prior to the approval of a final plat. This is done so Planning staff can ensure that the plat is consistent with the overall design of the UDO property. A final site plan has not been submitted for this lot. The subdivision process has three parts: concept plan, development plan, and then the final plat. A final UDO site plan is equivalent to the second step, the development plan, and includes construction plans and site specifics such as size and location of building, building elevations, location and number of parking



Metro Planning	ro Planning Commission Meeting of 4/12/07						
	spaces, driveway locations, and landscaping. The applicant proposes skipping the "development plan" step because they submitted the roadway construction plans for the new internal road with the final site plan with Phase 1 (residential portion) of the UDO.						
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommends disapproval of the request to record a final plat prior to approval of a site plan. The reason for the disapproval is the applicant's failure to obtain final UDO site plan approval demonstrating compliance with the intent of the Ridgeview UDO.						
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	Update TIS to provide further traffic analysis and make additional recommendations to mitigate the impact of traffic from this development.						
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	Approved.						
CONDITIONS (IF APPROVED)	 Add a note to the face of the plat that states there will be no issuance of building permits prior to Planning Commission Approval of the Final UDO site plan. Update TIS to provide further traffic analysis and make additional recommendations to mitigate the impact of traffic from this development. 						



Project No. Project Name Council Bill Council District School Board District Requested By Deferrals	Planned Unit Development 74-79-G-13 Nashboro Village BL2007-1420 29 - Wilhoite 6 - Johnson Councilmember Vivian Wilhoite Deferred from the March 22, 2007, Planning Commission meeting at the request of the applicant.
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Swaggart <i>Approve</i>
APPLICANT REQUEST Cancel PUD	A request to cancel a portion of the preliminary plan for a residential Planned Unit Development district located at the southeast corner of Nashboro Boulevard and Flintlock Court, zoned One and Two-Family (R10) (4.48 acres), approved for 144 multi-family units in two six story buildings.
PLAN DETAILS	There is no site plan associated with this request. The request is to cancel the Planned Unit Development district on this property (Map 135, Parcel 276), which will effectively remove all the development rights that were granted with the approved PUD plan.
Preliminary Plan	The PUD was originally approved in 1977 and has undergone significant changes since its original conception. The last PUD plan for this property was approved for 144 multi-family units in two six story buildings.
Zoning and Long Range Plan	The underlying zoning for this property is R10 which requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots. The long range plan calls for this area to develop residentially with a density between 4 and 9 units per acre (Residential Medium Policy).
Staff Recommendation	The long range plan calls for this area to develop with residential uses at a density between 4 and 9 units per acre. The underlying R10 base zone is more in keeping with the long range plan than the 144 units approved with the PUD overlay district. Since the R10 base zone



Metro Planning Commission Meeting of 4/12/07					
	district is consistent with the area's long range plan, staff recommends that the request be approved.				
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	No Exceptions Taken.				
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	No Exceptions Taken.				



The state of the s	
Project No.	2006SP-093G-06
Project Name	Olde Mill
Associated Cases	2006CP-12-06
Council Bill	BL2007-1405
Council District	22 – Crafton
	35 - Tygard
School District	9 – Warden
Requested By	Barge, Cauthen and Associates, Inc., for Old Mill
	Partnership, and John S. Cowden, owners
Deferrals	This request and the associated policy amendment were

Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation Swaggart

Approve with conditions

indefinitely prior to the meeting.

APPLICANT REQUEST

A request to change approximately 141 acres from Agricultural/Residential (AR2a) to Specific Plan (SP) zoning, property located 8811 Newsom Station Road, and Newsom Station Road (unnumbered), to permit 16 two-family units, 35 town-home units, and 197 single-family lots.

originally scheduled for the June 8, 2006, Planning Commission meeting, but both were deferred

Existing Zoning AR2a District

Agricultural/Residential requires a minimum lot size of 2 acres and intended for uses that generally occur in rural areas, including single-family, two-family, and mobile homes at a density of one dwelling unit per 2 acres. The AR2a district is intended to implement the natural conservation or interim nonurban land use policies of the general plan.

SP District

Specific Plan is a zoning district category that provides for additional flexibility of design, including the relationship of buildings to streets, to provide the ability to implement the specific details of the General Plan.

- The SP District is a new base zoning district, not an overlay. It will be labeled on zoning maps as "SP."
- The SP District is not subject to the traditional zoning districts' development standards. Instead, urban design elements are determined for the **specific development** and are written into the zone change ordinance, which becomes law.

Proposed Zoning



- Use of SP <u>does not</u> relieve the applicant of responsibility for the regulations/guidelines in historic or redevelopment districts. The more stringent regulations or guidelines control.
- Use of SP <u>does not</u> relieve the applicant of responsibility for subdivision regulation and/or stormwater regulations.

BELLEVUE COMMUNITY PLAN

Structure Plan Category

Existing
Natural Conservation (NCO)

NCO policy is intended for undeveloped areas with the presence of steep terrain, unstable soils, and floodway/floodplain. Low intensity community facility development and very low density residential development (not exceeding one dwelling unit per two acres) may be appropriate land uses.

Proposed
Residential Low Medium (RLM)

RLM policy is intended to accommodate residential development within a density range of two to four dwelling units per acre. The predominant development type is single-family homes, although some townhomes and other forms of attached housing may be appropriate.

Consistent with Policy?

Yes the proposed plan is consistent with the proposed Residential Low Medium (RLM) policy (see Staff Report for 2006CP-12-06). If the policy change is not approved and it remains as Natural Conservation policy, then the plan will not be consistent with the policy. The Specific Plan calls for an overall density of approximately 1.75 units per acre, which is actually slightly lower than the RLM's density range (2-4 DU's per acre). While the density is more consistent with Residential Low (RL) policy's one to two dwelling units per acre, the proposed Specific Plan calls for a mixture of single-family, two-family, and condominium dwellings, which is consistent with the RLM policy and not consistent with the RL policy because RL is intended primarily for single-family residences.



PLAN DETAILS

Site Plan

Phasing

Height / Setbacks Requirements

Access

The site plan calls for a mixture of housing types with a total of 248 units. Housing types will consist of 16 two-family units (8 lots), 35 townhomes (35 lots), and 197 single-family lots. The single-family lots are broken into four different lot sizes: 86 lots are 31 feet wide, 67 lots are 41 feet wide, 11 lots are 51 feet wide, and 33 lots are 65 feet wide. Also included in the plan is a community pool.

As proposed the project will be developed in three separate phases starting in 2007 and ending in 2009.

As proposed height and setback standards will be as follows:

<u>Height</u>

- Max 3 stories for primary structures.
- Max 1 story or 16 feet for accessory structures (whichever is greater).

Setbacks

- Minimum 15 foot front setback (porches allowed).
- Minimum 3 foot and maximum 7 foot side yard setbacks.
- Minimum 20 foot rear yard setback.

Previous requests to develop this property have been disapproved due to numerous issues including the lack of adequate access. Previous development plans proposed access from the extension of Newsom Station Road from the north. A single access point from the north, as previously proposed is not appropriate because north of the bridge the Harpeth River historically has flooded the roadway, making the road impassible. Newsom Station Road does continue on to the west south of the bridge, but crosses the CSX rail road through a narrow, one lane under pass. Due to the low height and narrow width of the under pass emergency vehicles would have no direct access to the site if the Harpeth River flooded.

This plan proposes two access points. One access point is the same previously proposed access to Newsom Station Road from the north. The second proposed access point includes a bridge over the CSX railroad,



providing a connection to the western side of Newsom Station Road. The plan also calls for the section of Newsom Station Road from the CSX under pass to where the new bridge connection connects back to Newsom Station Road to be abandoned. Newsom Station Road would then be rerouted over the proposed bridge, and back along the extension on the east side of the CSX rail road. Prior to this section of the Newsom Station Road being closed, the new section must be accepted by Metro Public Works. CSX must approve the cross over and any proposed improvements within CSX right-of-way prior to approval of the final development plan.

As proposed, the section of Newsom Station Road that will extend from the bridge south to the development will cross Newsom Mill's State Park. Prior to approval of the final SP site plan, the State must approve the use of the park property and all proposed improvements.

As proposed, lots will be accessed by new public streets and alleys. The 33 single family lots that are 65 feet wide will be front loaded and the remainder of the lots will be rear loaded from alleys.

Environmental/Open Space/ Conservation Easement

This property is adjacent to the Harpeth River and approximately 88 acres (62%) of the property is encumbered by floodplain. As proposed approximately 16 acres (19%) of land encumbered with floodplain will be disturbed. While a small amount of floodplain will be disturbed with the development, approximately 93 acres (66%) of the property will be conserved in open space. Out of the 93 acres being conserved in open space approximately 56 acres (60%) is being conserved within a conservation easement. Disturbance within the 56 acres designated within the conservation easement will be limited to the construction of a multi-use path for the Harpeth River Greenway. While a majority of the land is not within the 100 year floodplain the entire site is prone to flooding.

Greenway

An identified greenway and pedestrian path is located across the property adjacent to the Harpeth River. The plan shows the trail located within the floodway. Prior to approval of the final SP site plan Metro Greenways and Metro Stormwater will have to approve the location



	Metro Planning (Commission Meeting of 4/12/07					
		of the greenway in the floodway buffer. A stormwater variance from the Stormwater Management Committee must be approved prior to the construction of the greenway in the floodway and floodway buffer.					
Parking		Two parking spaces per lot are stipulated on the plan. Also, 26 parking spaces are identified near the proposed pool area.					
Sidewalks		Sidewalks are proposed along both sides of all public streets.					
Building Elev	ations	Building elevations have been submitted showing the proposed products for the various type lots. Staff does not have any concerns with the proposed product types.					
Staff Recomm	nendation	As proposed, the preliminary SP adequately balances development with conservation of environmentally sensitive land on this property. The proposed plan also adequately addresses access concerns. If CSX does not approve the proposed plan to bridge CSX right-of-way, then another access point will need to be provided, prior to approval of the final SP site plan. Without adequate access, the final SP site plan should not be approved. Staff recommends that the Specific Plan be approved with conditions.					
PUBLIC WO RECOMME		Approve with the following conditions: 1. The developer's construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.					

2. Evaluate Newsom Station Road realigned.

AASHTO geometric design standards.

4. Identify proposed road names.

155 and 195 & 224 per ST-252.

standards.

Proposed realignment does not appear to meet

3. Construct roundabout per AASHTO/FHWA design

5. Unnamed roadway between Newsom Station Road realignment and round-a-bout per ST-252.

Southern unnamed roadway from round-about per ST-252. Unnamed roadway between lots 147 &



Per the recommendations of the traffic impact study:

- 1. Realign Newsom Station Road to provide a grade separated crossing over the railroad track to create a four legged intersection with the proposed project road and alley.
- 2. At this new intersection, the eastbound approach of Newsom Station Road shall be designed to include a shared through & right turn lane and a dedicated left turn lane with a minimum of 75 feet of storage and transitions per AASHTO/MUTCD standards.
- 3. At the new intersection, the southbound approach of Newsom Station Road shall be designed to include a shared through & left turn lane and a dedicated right turn lane with a minimum of 75 feet of storage and transitions per AASHTO/MUTCD standards.
- 4. At this new intersection, the westbound approach of the new project road shall be designed to include a shared through, right, and left turn lane.
- 5. Stop control shall be installed on the southbound approach of Newsom Station Road and the northbound approach of the alley.

Typical Uses in Existing Zoning District: AR2a

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached (210)	141.4	0.5	71	759	60	79

Typical Uses in Proposed Zoning District: SP

Typical Oscs III I	Toposcu Zoming	District. Si				
Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-Family Detached (210)	141.4	N/A	197	1,941	148	198

Typical Uses in **Proposed** Zoning District: **SP**

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of units	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Res. Condo/Townhome (230)	141.4	n/a	35	263	23	26

Typical Uses in Proposed Zoning District: SP

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of units	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-Family Attached (Duplex) (220)	141.4	n/a	16	247	12	27



Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
			1,692	123	172

STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	 Approve with the following conditions: Undisturbed Buffers. Leave undisturbed, redelineate, or provide a variance for buffer disturbances (prior to final SP site plan approval). If the stream is determined to be a wet weather conveyance, then no buffers will be required. A LOMR-F shall be submitted prior to the issuance of any building permit with the condition that the min FFE = 4' + BFE. The applicant shall review the existing flood study, and if that model appears incorrect, then the applicant shall provide a CLOMR prior to approval of the construction drawings and a LOMR prior to the issuance of any building permits.
FIRE MARSHAL	The Fire Marshals' office must approve the final SP site plan.
METRO SCHOOL BOARD REPORT	
Projected student generation	28 Elementary 20 Middle 19 High
Schools Over/Under Capacity	Students would attend Gower Elementary School, Hill Middle School, and Hillwood High School. All three schools have been identified as having additional capacity by the Metro School Board.
CONDITIONS	 To ensure connectivity, prior to any section of Newsom Station Road being closed, the new section must be accepted by Metro Public Works. Prior to approval of the final SP site plan, CSX must approve the cross over, and any proposed improvements within CSX right-of-way. If the cross over is not granted by CSX then another



acceptable access point shall be obtained. If a second access point is not obtained then the final SP site plan shall not be approved. Any new access point must be approved by Metro Public Works and by the Planning Commission. Any new access point that accesses any street other than Newsom Station Road shall require Council approval.

- 3. Prior to final approval of the final SP site plan, the State must approve the use of their property and all proposed improvements.
- 4. Prior to approval of the final SP site plan Metro Greenways and Metro Stormwater will have to approve the location of the greenway in the floodway buffer. A stormwater variance from the Stormwater Management Committee must be approved prior to the construction of the greenway in the floodway, and floodway buffer.
- 5. Prior to final approval of the site plan Metro Greenways and Metro Stormwater will have to approve the location of the greenway in the floodway buffer. A stormwater variance from the Stormwater Appeal Board must be approved prior to the construction of the greenway in the floodway, and floodway buffer.
- 6. The proposed front yard setbacks shall be further reviewed and at planning staff's direction may be altered on the final SP site plan.
- 7. For any development standards, regulations and requirements not specifically shown on the SP plan and/or included as a condition of Commission or Council approval, the property shall be subject to the standards, regulations and requirements of the RM2 zoning district effective at the date of the building permit. This zoning district must be shown on the plan.
- 8. The application, including attached materials, plans, and reports submitted by the applicant and all adopted conditions of approval shall constitute the plans and regulations as required for the Specific Plan rezoning until a Final Plan is filed



per the requirement listed below. Except as otherwise noted herein, the application, supplemental information and conditions of approval shall be used by the planning department and department of codes administration to determine compliance, both in the review of final site plans and issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Deviation from these plans will require review by the Planning Commission and approval by the Metropolitan Council.

- 9. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of preliminary approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 10. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of preliminary approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metropolitan Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 11. The requirements of the Metropolitan Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- 12. Minor adjustments to the site plan may be approved by the planning commission or its designee based upon final architectural, engineering or site design and actual site conditions. All adjustments shall be consistent with the principles and further the objectives of the approved plan. Adjustments shall not be permitted, except through an ordinance approved by Metro Council, that increase the permitted density or intensity, add uses not otherwise permitted, eliminate specific conditions or requirements contained in the plan as adopted through this enacting ordinance, or add vehicular access points not currently present or approved.
- 13. Within 120 days of Planning Commission approval of this preliminary SP plan, and in any



event prior to any additional development applications for this property, including submission of a final SP site plan, the applicant shall provide the Planning Department with a final corrected copy of the preliminary SP plan for filing and recording with the Davidson County Register of Deeds. Failure to submit a final corrected copy of the preliminary SP plan within 120 days will void the Commission's approval and require resubmission of the plan to the Planning Commission.





Project No. Associated Case Council Bill Council District School District Requested by Staff Reviewer	Zone Change 2007Z-046G-06 PUD Cancellation 94-71-G-06 BL2007-1416 22 – Crafton 9 - Warden Councilmember Eric Crafton Jones
Staff Recommendation APPLICANT REQUEST	Request to change 2.69 acres from Shopping Center
	Regional (SCR) and 11.95 acres from Mixed Use Limited (MUL) to Single-Family Residential (RS40) district on property located at 7614 A and B Highway 70 South, and 7634 Highway 70 South.
Existing Zoning MUL District	Mixed Use Limited is intended for a moderate intensity mixture of residential, retail, restaurant, and office uses.
SCR District	Shopping Center Regional is intended for high intensity retail, office, and consumer service uses for a regional market area.
Proposed Zoning RS40 District	RS40 requires a minimum 40,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings at a density of .93 dwelling units per acre.
BELLEVUE COMMUNITY PLAN	
Regional Activity Center (RAC)	RAC policy is intended for concentrated mixed-use areas anchored by a regional mall. Other uses common in RAC policy are all types of retail activities, offices, public uses, and higher density residential areas. An accompanying Urban Design or Planned Unit Development overlay district or site plan should accompany proposals in these policy areas, to assure appropriate design and that the type of development conforms to the intent of the policy.
Consistent with Policy?	No. The proposed RS40 district is not consistent with the Bellevue Community Plan's RAC policy intended



for concentrated mixed-use areas anchored by a
regional mall, which typically serves a customer base of
at least 125,000 people. Other uses common in RAC
areas include all types of retail activities, offices, public
uses, and higher density residential areas. The parcels
included in this requested zone change comprise a
portion of the Bellevue Center PUD, which was
approved for over 1.4 million square feet or
commercial, office and retail use.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends disapproval of the zone change request because it is inconsistent with Regional Activity Center policy.

RECENT REZONINGS

None.

PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

No Exception Taken.

Typical Uses in Existing Zoning District: MUL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Shopping Center (820)	14.64	.56	357,122	15,534	336	1,451

Typical Uses in Proposed Zoning District: RS40

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached(210)	14.64	.93	13	125	10	14

Change in Traffic Between Typical Uses in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
		-15,409	-326	-1,437

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: MUL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Shopping Center (820)	14.64	.8	510,174	19,583	416	1,835

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: RS40

Maximum Uses in	Waximum Oses in Proposed Zoning District: R540						
Land Use	Acres	Density	Total	Daily Trips	AM Peak	PM Peak	
(ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Number of lots	(weekday)	Hour	Hour	
Single-family detached(210)	14.64	.93	13	125	10	14	

^{*}Adjusted as per use



Change in Traffic Between Maximum Uses in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
		-19,458	-406	-1,821





Project No. Project Name Associated Case Council Bill Council District School District Requested by Staff Reviewer	Planned Unit Development 94-71-G-06 Bellevue Center Zone Change 2007Z-046G-06 BL2007-1415 22 - Crafton 9 - Warden Councilmember Eric Crafton Jones			
Staff Recommendation	Disapprove			
APPLICANT REQUEST Cancel PUD	A request to cancel a portion of a Commercial Planned Unit Development located at 7614 A and B Highway 70 South (2.69 acres) zoned Shopping Center Regional (SCR), and 7634 Highway 70 South (11.95 acres), zoned Mixed Use Limited (MUL), requested by Councilmember Eric Crafton.			
Existing Zoning MUL District	Mixed Use Limited is intended for a moderate intensity mixture of residential, retail, restaurant, and office uses.			
SCR District	Shopping Center Regional is intended for high intensity retail, office, and consumer service uses for a regional market area.			
Commercial PUD	The Bellevue Center PUD comprises a total of 102.60 acres. A portion of the PUD, 2.69 acres was approved for two 6,000 square foot restaurants in 2000, and 11.95 acres was approved for 212,305 square feet of retail in 2005. Presently, each lot is undeveloped.			
Proposed Zoning RS40 District	RS40 requires a minimum 40,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings at a density of .93 dwelling units per acre.			
BELLEVUE COMMUNITY PLAN				
Regional Activity Center (RAC)	RAC policy is intended for concentrated mixed-use areas anchored by a regional mall. Other uses common in RAC policy are all types of retail activities, offices, public uses and higher density residential areas. An accompanying Urban Design or Planned Unit Development overlay			



Metro Planning (Commission Meeting of 4/12/07			
	district or site plan should accompany proposals in these policy areas, to assure appropriate design and that the type of development conforms with the intent of the policy.			
Consistent with Policy?	No. The PUD cancellation and the proposed RS40 zoning are inconsistent with the Bellevue Community Structure Plan policy of Regional Activity Center which is intended for high intensity retail, office and consumer services for a regional market area.			
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommends disapproval of the PUD cancellation request because it is inconsistent with Regional Activity Center policy.			



Project No. Council Bill Council District School District Requested by	Zone Change 2007Z-050U-14 BL2007-1421 15 – Loring 4 - Glover Robert Rutherford for David and Tammy Jones, owners
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Jones Approve
APPLICANT REQUEST	Request to change a portion of 1.17 acres from One and Two-Family Residential (R10) to Office Limited (OL) on property located at 316 Donelson Pike, north of Emery Drive.
Existing Zoning R10 District	R10 requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.
Proposed Zoning OL District	Office Limited is intended for moderate intensity office uses.
DONELSON/HERMITAGE COMMUNITY PLAN	
Office Transition (OT)	OT policy is intended for small offices that serve as a transition between lower and higher intensity uses where there are no suitable natural features that can be used as buffers. Generally, transitional offices are used between residential and commercial areas. The predominant land use in OT areas is low-rise, low intensity offices.
Consistent with Policy?	Yes. The proposed OL district is consistent with Amendment 2 to the Donelson-Hermitage-Old Hickory Community Plan: 2004 Update, which applied the Office Transition (OT) structure plan to this parcel.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommends approval of the zone change request because it is consistent with policy.
RECENT REZONINGS	There is a request to rezone property a block south from this location on this agenda (2007Z-052U-14).



PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

Traffic Study may be required at the time of development.

Typical Uses in Proposed Zoning District: R10

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached (210)	1.17	3.71	4	39	3	5

Typical Uses in Proposed Zoning District: OL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
General Office (710)	1.17	0.087	4,443	122	16	84

Change in Traffic Between **Typical Uses** in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
		83	13	79

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: R10

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached (210)	1.17	3.71	4	39	3	5

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: OL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
General Office (710)	1.17	0.75	38,000	634	87	122

Change in Traffic Between Maximum Uses in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

Change in Tranic Between Waximum Uses in Existing and Proposed Zonning District							
			1		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
					595	84	117



Project No. Council Bill Council District School District Requested by	Zone Change 2007Z-052U-14 None 15 - Loring 4 - Glover Frank Batson Homes Inc., applicant, for Harold Foster et ux and Van Buford Grizzard, owners
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Logan Disapprove
APPLICANT REQUEST	A request to change from Office/Residential (OR20) to Office Limited (OL), One and Two-Family Residential (R10) to Office Limited (OL), and One and Two-Family Residential (R10) to Office/Residential (OR20) zoning on a portion of properties located at 408 and 410 Donelson Pike, at the northwest corner of Donelson Pike and Lakeland Drive (3.73 acres).
Existing Zoning OR20 District	Office/Residential is intended for office and/or multifamily residential units at up to 20 dwelling units per acre.
R10 District	<u>R10</u> requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single -family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.
Proposed Zoning OL District	Office Limited is intended for moderate intensity office uses.
OR20 District	Office/Residential is intended for office and/or multifamily residential units at up to 20 dwelling units per acre.
DONELSON/HERMITAGE COMMUNITY PLAN	
Office Transition (OT)	OT policy is intended for small offices intended to serve as a transition between lower and higher intensity uses where there are no suitable natural features that can be used as buffers. Generally, transitional offices are used between residential and commercial areas. The



Residential Low Medium (RLM)

Consistent with Policy?

predominant land use in OT areas is low-rise, low intensity offices.

RLM policy is intended to accommodate residential development within a density range of two to four dwelling units per acre. The predominant development type is single-family homes, although some townhomes and other forms of attached housing may be appropriate.

No. The Community Plan for this area was amended at the direction of the Planning Commission and approved on November 14, 2006. At that time, it was determined that the area was predominately low medium and medium density residential with some churches and nonresidential uses along Donelson Pike. Because the area is between two commercial concentrations, staff recommended that small office development was appropriate along Donelson Pike, but the residential designation should be retained along the side streets.

This is a request to rezone the eastern portion of two parcels to OL and the western portion to OR20. The northern parcel and the western portion of the southern parcel are currently zoned R10. The eastern portion of the southern parcel was rezoned to OR20 before the plan amendment. The depth of the rezoned portion is more than twice the depth of the Office Transition policy. Therefore, staff recommends disapproval of the request to rezone that deep of a portion on the northern parcel.

Additionally, staff recommends disapproval of the rezoning from OR20 to OL. The reason for the rezoning is to allow parking in front of the building, an arrangement which is not permitted in OR20 districts. Because of the similarities of uses permitted in OR20 and OL, the request to change the zoning is not based on the proposed use of the property. The main difference is that in OL, parking may be placed in front of the building. Staff does not recommend approval of OL zoning solely to permit parking in front of the building. Parking in the front of the building and at the entrance of a residential neighborhood would not be a desirable situation.



27/13	
	Lastly, staff recommends disapproval of rezoning the western portion from R10 to OR20. When the Community Plan was amended, it was determined that office uses were only appropriate along Donelson Pike and not in the residential portion of the neighborhood.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommends disapproval because the request is inconsistent with policy.
RECENT REZONINGS	A request to rezone the southern parcel from R10 to OR20 was approved by the Planning Commission on August 10, 2006.
	A request to rezone a parcel one block north of this location from R10 to OL is on this agenda (2007Z-050U-14).
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	Traffic study may be required at the time of development.

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: R10

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached (210)	3.79	3.85	14	134	11	15

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: OR20

Maximum Coco	in Existing Zomin	g District. OILL				
Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
General Office (710)	2.24	.8	78,059	1,103	154	167

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: OR20

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
General Office (710)	1.88	.8	65,514	964	134	153

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: OL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
General Office (710)	4.15	.75	135,580	1,687	240	231

Change in Traffic Between Maximum Uses in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
		1,692	249	230



METRO SCHOOL BOARD REPORT

Projected student generation

Schools Over/Under Capacity

<u>4</u> Elementary <u>3 Middle <u>2 High</u></u>

Students would attend McGavock Elementary School, Two Rivers Middle School, or McGavock High School. McGavock Elementary School and Two Rivers Middle School have been identified as being over capacity by the Metro School Board. Another elementary and middle school in the cluster have capacity. This information is based upon data from the school board last updated August 2006.





Project No. Council Bill Council District School District Requested by	Zone Change 2007Z-055U-10 BL2007-1424 17 - Greer 8 - Fox Alex Rustioni, applicant, for Scott and Elizabeth Mayo, owners.
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Withers Disapprove
APPLICANT REQUEST Existing Zoning R8 District	A request to change from One and Two-Family Residential (R8) to Mixed Use Limited (MUL) zoning property located at 1002 Lawrence Avenue, at the northwest corner of 10th Avenue, South, and Lawrence Avenue (0.15 acres). R8 requires a minimum 8,000 square foot lot and is
	intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 5.41 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.
Proposed Zoning MUL District	Mixed Use Limited is intended for a moderate intensity mixture of residential, retail, restaurant, and office uses.
GREEN HILLS/MIDTOWN COMMUNITY PLAN POLICY	
Neighborhood General (NG)	NG is intended to meet a spectrum of housing needs with a variety of housing that is carefully arranged, not randomly located. An accompanying Urban Design or Planned Unit Development overlay district or site plan should accompany proposals in these policy areas, to assure appropriate design and that the type of development conforms with the intent of the policy.
Consistent with Policy?	No. The Mixed Use Limited District allows retail, restaurant and office uses that are not envisioned by the Neighborhood General Policy. The NG policy is intended for a variety of housing types and should be implement through an SP or other design plan. The applicant chose not to submit an SP because the current building on-site is not proposed to be changed.
	A small convenience store and hair salon currently exist on the site in a structure that was built around 1920



according to the Tax Assessor's records. The structure is classified as an existing non-conforming use. The use can continue as it currently exists.

The commercial building shares a lot with a single-family residence. In order for the applicant to purchase the commercial building it must sit on its own lot. The subdivision can not take place as long as the building is classified as non-conforming.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recognizes the non-conforming status of the use, but does not recommend approval because this is not an appropriate location for a new application of nonresidential zoning.

RECENT REZONINGS

None.

PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION

Traffic study may be required at the time of development.

Maximum Uses in Existing Zoning District: R8

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	Density	Total Number of Lots	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Single-family detached (210)	.31	4.63	1	10	1	2

Maximum Uses in Proposed Zoning District: MUL

Land Use (ITE Code)	Acres	FAR	Total Square Feet	Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Gas Station w/ Convenience Market (945)	.31	.115	1,553	-	121	150

Change in Traffic Between Maximum Uses in Existing and Proposed Zoning District

		Daily Trips (weekday)	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
		-	120	148

METRO SCHOOL BOARD
REPORT

No additional students are projected to be generated by this request.





Project No. Project Name Council District School District Requested by Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Subdivision 2007S-071G-14 Lakeside Meadows, Phase 4 12 – Gotto 4 - Glover Weatherford and Associates, LLC for Karl and Linnae Nelson, owners. Jones Disapprove
APPLICANT REQUEST Concept Plan	A request for concept plan approval to create eight lots on property located at 4618 Hessey Road (4.88 acres), at the southeast corner of Earhart Road and Hessey Road, zoned Single-Family Residential (RS15).
ZONING RS15 District	RS15 requires a minimum 15,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings at a density of 2.47 dwelling units per acre.
PLAN DETAILS Cluster Lot	The plan proposes eight lots in Phase 4 of Lakeside Meadows, a cluster lot development. The cluster lot option allows the applicant to reduce minimum lot sizes two base zone districts from the base zone classification of RS15 (minimum 15,000 sq. ft. lots) to RS7.5 (minimum 7,500 sq. ft. lots) if the plan meets all the requirements of the cluster lot provisions of the Metro Code. The proposed lots range in size from 13,577 square feet to 60,136 square feet.
Open Space	Pursuant to Section 17.12.090(D) of the Metro Zoning Ordinance, cluster lot subdivisions require a minimum of 15% open space per phase. The applicant complies with this requirement by proposing approximately .84 acres or 17 percent open space, but the designated open space on the plan does not meet the intent of "common open space" as defined by the zoning code (Section 17.04.060 B).
Access/Street Connectivity	Lots 109 through 115 are accessible via Hessey Road, and lot 116 is accessible via Earhart Road.
Sidewalks	Sidewalks are proposed on Hessey Road.



Staff Recommendation	The purpose of the cluster lot option is to provide for flexibility of design, the creation of common open space, the preservation of natural features or unique or significant vegetation (Section 17.12.090). In exchange for alternative lot sizes, the development must include "common open space" that provides "use and enjoyment" value to the residents.
	Phase 4 of Lakeside Meadows does not meet the cluster lot development requirements. The intent of the cluster lot option is to provide design flexibility when the natural features and topography restricts development on the site. The applicant's property presents no environmental constraints that would impede developing the site under the current zoning.
	The concept plan designates 17 percent of the site as open space with a "trail amenity." Although the plan exceeds the 15 percent minimum requirement, the open space would function more as residual land and not "common open space" which is intended to provide "use and enjoyment" value to the residents. In addition, pedestrian access to the open space is not clearly identifiable. Access to the open space will be limited to the rear of only a few residential lots and to Earhart Road where there is no sidewalk connection.
	For these reasons, staff recommends disapproval of the proposed subdivision concept plan.
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	The developer's construction drawings shall comply with the design regulations established by the Department of Public Works. Final design may vary based on field conditions.
	Construct roadway section per ST-252 from centerline to property boundary along Hessey Road property frontage.
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	Approved.



Project No. Project Name Council District School District Requested by	Subdivision 2007S-061U-10 Re-subdivision, Lots 24 & 25 25 – Shulman 8 - Fox Anderson, Delk, Eppes surveyor for Mattie H. Parham, owner
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Jones Approve with conditions
APPLICANT REQUEST Final Plat	A request to subdivide a 0.71 acre parcel into two lots at a proposed density of 2.82 dwelling units per acre on property located at 1769 Hillmont Drive, approximately 260 feet north of Glen Echo Road, zoned One and Two-Family Residential (R10).
ZONING R10 District	R10 requires a minimum 10,000 square foot lot and is intended for single-family dwellings and duplexes at an overall density of 4.63 dwelling units per acre including 25% duplex lots.
GREEN HILLS/MIDTOWN COMMUNITY PLAN POLICY	
Residential Low (RL)	RL policy is intended to conserve large areas of established, low density (two dwelling units per acre or below), subdivided residential developments that have their own street systems.
Special Policy Area #14	Maintaining the current 40,000 square foot lot size requirement and established character of development in this RL policy area is recommended, except for the properties along the east margin of Benham Avenue where proposals for rezoning to RS20 and development in accordance with that zoning may be considered on their merits.
SUBDIVISION DETAILS	The applicant proposes to subdivide one lot into two. Each lot is planned for one single family dwelling unit. As single family lots, the proposed density of 2.82 dwelling units complies with the R10 district, but conflicts with the Residential Low density land use policy for this area.



Section 3-5 of the Subdivision Regulations states that new lots in areas that are predominantly developed are to be generally in keeping with the lot frontage and lot size of the existing surrounding lots.

Lot comparability analysis was performed and yielded the following information:

Lot Comparability Analysis		
Street Requirements		
	Minimum lot	Minimum lot
	size (sq. ft.)	frontage (linear ft.)
Hillmont	27,079.80	86

As proposed, the two new lots have the following areas and street frontages:

- Lot 1: 16,516 Sq. Ft. with 102 ft. of frontage
- Lot 2: 16,081 Sq. Ft. with 102 ft. of frontage

A lot comparability exception can be granted if the lot does not meet the minimum requirements of the lot comparability analysis (is smaller in lot frontage and/or size) if the new lots would be consistent with the General Plan. The Planning Commission has discretion whether or not to grant a lot comparability exception.

The proposed lots <u>could</u> meet one of the qualifying criteria of the exception to lot comparability:

- The proposed subdivision is within a one-half mile radius of an area designated as a "Regional Activity Center."
- The proposed subdivision is within a one-quarter mile radius of an area designated as a mixed use, office, commercial or retail.

Staff recommends granting an exception to lot

comparability due to the proximity of a regional activity and recent development patterns in the area. Although the community plan update states a desire to maintain the established low density residential character for this area, it is clear that infill development has become a means by which to intensify development in the area.

A similar lot comparability waiver was granted in 2004 to allow a density of 2.2 dwelling units per acre on

Staff Recommendation



Metro Planning Commission Meeting of 4/12/07	
	property directly across the street from this site. The intensity of a proposed two lot subdivision would be in keeping with the existing development pattern in the area.
	Furthermore, its proximity to a regional activity center supports the density on this site. The proposed subdivision is within walking distance (about 1,000 feet) to the Green Hills regional activity center, where higher density residential uses are encouraged.
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	No Exception Taken.
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	Approved.
CONDITIONS	 A note shall be added to the plat indicating the use of the lots is restricted to single-family only. A note shall be added to the plat requiring construction of sidewalks with the issuance of building permits.



Project No. Project Name Council District School District Requested By	Subdivision 2007S-070U-14 Bainbridge Satterfield, Replat Lots 13 & 14 15 – Loring 4 – Glover Young, Hobbs and Associates, applicant for Leigh Ann Staley and Theodore F. Bertuca, owners
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Swaggart Approve with conditions including a variance to Section 3-4.2(b) of the Metro Subdivision Regulations which stipulates the difference between minor and major subdivisions.
APPLICANT REQUEST Final Plat	Request to modify the lot lines between 2 existing lots located at 148 and 150 McGavock Pike, with one of the two lots having no street frontage but an access easement from McGavock Pike, approximately 52 feet south of Park Drive (2.14 acres), zoned Commercial Limited (CL).
Zoning CL District	<u>Commercial Limited</u> is intended for retail, consumer service, financial, restaurant, and office uses.
SUBDIVISION DETAILS	The plat proposes relocating an existing lot line between two lots located along the west side of McGavock Pike. Currently the two lots share a side lot line and are parallel to one another. As proposed the shared lot line will be removed and the new line will be placed parallel with McGavock Pike creating one approximately 50,000 square feet lot along McGavock Pike and one approximately 44,000 square foot lot behind the lot on McGavock Pike and will not have frontage on McGavock Pike or any other public street.
Lot Requirements for Frontage Section 3-4.2(b)	Section 3-4.2(b) of the Metro Subdivision Regulations stipulates that all lots shall have frontage on a public street or where permitted, on a private street, but that commercially zoned lots may be excepted without frontage when adequate access can be provided. As proposed lot 14 will not have any frontage to a public street but will be accessed from McGavock Pike by an access easement across the front lot (lot 13). The lot without frontage does not require a variance from the Subdivision Regulations, but it does require the Commission's approval.



Minor/Major Subdivision (Section 2-1.2)

Section 2-1.2 of the Metro Subdivision Regulations specifies what shall be considered a minor subdivision and what shall be considered a major subdivision. The difference being that a minor subdivision is not required to have a development plan. The section specifically lists what is a major subdivision, including any plat that requires the dedication for right-of-way or easements for the construction of a public water or sewer distribution lines, and any plat where dedications, reservations, improvements or environmental conditions that, in the opinion of the Executive Director with advice from reviewing agencies, require construction documents to be reviewed prior to final plat approval.

Since this plat request will require that public sewer and water be extended, the plat is a major subdivision. While the request constitutes a major subdivision under the new regulations, it is inefficient to require a simple two lot subdivision to go through the three step process due to an extension of a water and or sewer line.

When the regulations were adopted on March 9, 2006, it was anticipated that minor corrections would be necessary as a number of new concepts were introduced. After working with the regulations for the past year, a number of issues have been identified, including the need for further clarification of what constitutes a major or minor subdivision. Staff will be bringing amendments to the Subdivision Regulations to the Planning Commission this summer.

At this time, staff is recommending that a variance from Section 2-1.2 be granted and that the request be considered a minor subdivision. While a water and sewer extension will be required with this plat, all construction plans will be reviewed by the appropriate departments and the plat will not be recorded until such time that all departments have approved the plat and associated construction plans.

While lot 14 will not have frontage, it will be adequately accessed from McGavock Pike by an access easement across the front lot. Staff recommends that

Staff Recommendation



	•
	the plat be approved with conditions and a variance be granted to Section 2-1.2 of the Subdivision Regulations.
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	Approve with the following conditions: 1. Show and dimension right-of-way (ROW) along McGavock Pike. Label and dedicate ROW 30 feet from centerline to property boundary. Label and show reserve strip for future ROW (42 feet from centerline to property boundary), consistent with the approved major street plan (U-84' ROW).
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	 Approve with the following conditions: Add the standard Access Note: "Metro Water Services shall be provided sufficient and unencumbered ingress and egress at all times in order to maintain, repair, replace, and inspect any Storm water facilities within the property." The grading/construction documents for this property have not been approved. The plans are sufficient for technical review; consequently, the plans have been released to AMEC for technical review. AMEC has returned the plans for correction as of 3/6/2007. Plats cannot be approved prior to grading plan approval. The final plat is reviewed in light of approved grading plans in order to secure all necessary drainage easements engendered by construction.
WATER SERVICE RECOMMENDATION	Plat shall not be recorded until construction drawings have been approved by Stormwater staff.
CONDITIONS	The plat must be revised to address all Public Works and Stormwater comments listed above.





Project No. Project Name Council District School Board District Requested By	Planned Unit Development 155-74-G-14 Larchwood Commercial (Comfort Suites) 14 - White 4 - Glover PBJ Engineering Design, LLC, applicant for Fox Hospitality, owenrs
Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation	Swaggart Approve with conditions
APPLICANT REQUEST Final PUD	A request for final approval for a portion of a commercial Planned Unit Development located at 3431 Percy Priest Drive, classified Commercial Limited (CL) (1.2 acres), to permit the development of a 39,360 square foot hotel with 75 units.
PLAN DETAILS Site Plan	The plan calls for a 39,360 square foot hotel to include 75 rooms, with associated parking. Access will be provided from a private drive to Percy Priest Drive.
Preliminary Plan	The original preliminary PUD plan was approved in 1974 for 400,990 square feet of various commercial and retail uses, and for 6,300 square feet of unknown uses for this property. This PUD has been amended and revised numerous times since its original approval. The most recent revision that was approved for this property by the Planning Commission was on February 8, 2007. The request was to revise the preliminary to allow for a 45,136 square foot hotel with 67 rooms. Prior to February the Commission approved a revision to the PUD on November 11, 2006, for a 39,360 square foot hotel with 75 rooms. The applicants have determined that the plan approved in February will not work so they are now requesting final site plan approval for the preliminary plan that was approved in November.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommends that the request be approved with conditions.
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	All Public Works' design standards shall be met prior to any final approvals and permit issuance. Final design and improvements may vary based on field conditions.



STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION

Approve with the following conditions:

- 1. Provide existing and proposed contours, with labeled elevations, on sheet C1.1 and C3.1, respectively.
- 2. Benchmark needs to reference a vertical datum (NAVD 88 or NGVD 29).
- 3. Clarify survey notes on sheet C1.3. There is one note that states survey was done by James Terry and another note that states it was done by Initial Point Land Surveying.
- 4. Provide easement location, documentation and appropriate fees for the water quality device and detention structures, including provisions for ingress and egress.
- 5. Provide a detention maintenance agreement with appropriate recording fees for the water quality device and detention structures.
- 6. Provide NPDES NOC letter and place the permit number on the design plans.
- 7. Provide a signed and dated NOI statement on the plans.
- 8. Provide outlet protection for pipes with a detail. Also provide outlet velocity calculations.
- 9. Erosions control details need to reference Metro's Erosion Control Manual. Provide the appropriate TCP-xx number on the details.
- 10. Provide inlet calculations.
- 11. Provide a note stating the FEMA FIRM map your site is shown on and whether or not your site is located in a floodplain.
- 12. Provide a detail for proposed headwall at outfall.
- 13. Provide C/CN, Tc, Q fro design event and capture capacity for each structure on drainage map.
- 14. Clarify where runoff in front of the building, along Percy Priest Drive, is going. The delineated structures areas show it as being split, but the flow arrows and spot elevations show it as all going to the west.
- 15. Provide roof leader discharge points.
- 16. If additional off-site water from adjacent property to the east is flowing onto this site, it needs to be addressed in the water quality and quantity analysis.
- 17. Explain how stage-storage table was derived/calculated.



- 18. In pond calculations, where did elevation 490.75, as a weir, come from?
- 19. Provide pipe flows and capacities.
- 20. Provide spread and hydraulic grade lines for each structure.
- 21. Replace water quality unit detail in plans with detail submitted on 2/22/07.
- 22. Provide stamp on water quality calculations that were submitted on 2/22/07.
- 23. Provide a drainage map for the downstream structures that is readable.
- 24. The minimum Tc value that can be used for design is 5 minutes. Revise downstream structure calculations
- 25. The next two downstream structures, from the outfall, will be the ditch that it discharges to and the first section of elliptical pipe.
- 26. If the ditch below the outfall is not continuous to the catch basin, then provide dissipation, such as a level spreader, with a detail.
- 27. Provide 3 complete sets of revised plans.

CONDITIONS

- 1. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of final approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.
- 2. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of final approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metropolitan Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 3. This approval does not include any signs.
 Business accessory or development signs in commercial or industrial planned unit developments must be approved by the Metropolitan Department of Codes Administration except in specific instances when the Metropolitan Council directs the Metropolitan Planning Commission to approve such signs.



- 4. The requirements of the Metropolitan Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits. If any cul-de-sac is required to be larger than the dimensions specified by the Metropolitan Subdivision Regulations, such cul-de-sac must include a landscaped median in the middle of the turn-around, including trees. The required turnaround may be up to 100 feet diameter.
- 5. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four (4) additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metropolitan Planning Commission.
- 6. These plans as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans will require reapproval by the Planning Commission.
- 7. If this final approval includes conditions which require correction/revision of the plans, authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four (4) copies of the corrected/revised plans have been submitted to and approved by staff of the Metropolitan Planning Commission for filing and recordation with the Davidson County Register of Deeds.





Project No.
Project Name
Council District
School Board District
Requested By

Staff Reviewer Staff Recommendation

APPLICANT REQUEST Revise Preliminary & Final PUD

PLAN DETAILS

Site Plan

Preliminary Plan

Planned Unit Development 90P-019U-13 Vale Ridge

28 - Alexander6 - JohnsonGerald G. Bucy, applicant for Fisher and Ford Group,LLC owenrs

Swaggart

Disapprove unless Stormwater approves stormwater plans prior to the meeting. If stormwater plans are approved prior to the meeting, then staff recommends approval with conditions.

A request to revise the preliminary plan and for final approval for a residential Planned Unit Development, located at Shiaway Drive (unnumbered), approximately 1,740 feet southwest of the intersection of Una-Antioch Pike and Murfreesboro Pike (10.27 acres), classified Multi-Family Residential (RM15), to permit the development of 48 multi-family units.

The plan calls for 48 town homes on approximately 5.46 acres with an overall density of 8.7 dwelling units per acre. All units front along a small private ring shaped road. All units will be accessed from a private drive that accesses onto Shiaway Drive.

The original preliminary plan for this PUD was approved for 63,450 square feet of office and retail space by the Metro Planning Commission on October 15, 1990. In 2005 the PUD was amended to allow for 48 town homes.

The plan as submitted is generally consistent with the last approved preliminary PUD with the exception of some minor building shifts. A significant difference is the removal of the sidewalk along the outside perimeter of the private drive, the removal of the sidewalk from the development to Una Antioch Pike, and the removal of the access easement from the development to the detention just south of the PUD boundary. Staff does not recommend approval of the removal of these sidewalks or the access easement.



Staff Recommendation	Since Stormwater has not approved the plans as submitted, staff recommends that the request be disapproved. If plans are approved by Stormwater prior to the meeting, then staff recommends that the request be approved with conditions, including providing sidewalks as originally approved in the preliminary PUD plan.
PUBLIC WORKS RECOMMENDATION	All Public Works' design standards shall be met prior to any final approvals and permit issuance. Final design and improvements may vary based on field conditions.
STORMWATER RECOMMENDATION	Proposed plan is not consistent with the approved stormwater plan. New plan and calculations must be submitted for review.
CONDITIONS (if approved)	 A sidewalk shall be shown along the outside perimeter of the private drive as approved with the preliminary PUD plan. A sidewalk connection shall be required from the development to Una Antioch as approved with the preliminary PUD plan. An access easement from the development to the detention area south of the PUD shall be required as approved with the preliminary PUD plan. A sidewalk shall be required along the entire frontage of Una Antioch Pike. The applicant may also make a financial contribution to Metro in lieu of construction of the required sidewalk as stipulated in Section 3-8.3 of the Metro Subdivision Regulations. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of final approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Stormwater Management division of Water Services.



- 6. Prior to the issuance of any permits, confirmation of final approval of this proposal shall be forwarded to the Planning Commission by the Traffic Engineering Sections of the Metropolitan Department of Public Works for all improvements within public rights of way.
- 7. This approval does not include any signs. Business accessory or development signs in commercial or industrial planned unit developments must be approved by the Metropolitan Department of Codes Administration except in specific instances when the Metropolitan Council directs the Metropolitan Planning Commission to approve such signs.
- 8. The requirements of the Metropolitan Fire Marshal's Office for emergency vehicle access and adequate water supply for fire protection must be met prior to the issuance of any building permits. If any cul-de-sac is required to be larger than the dimensions specified by the Metropolitan Subdivision Regulations, such cul-de-sac must include a landscaped median in the middle of the turn-around, including trees. The required turnaround may be up to 100 feet diameter.
- 9. Authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four (4) additional copies of the approved plans have been submitted to the Metropolitan Planning Commission.
- 10. These plans as approved by the Planning Commission will be used by the Department of Codes Administration to determine compliance, both in the issuance of permits for construction and field inspection. Significant deviation from these plans will require reapproval by the Planning Commission.
- 11. If this final approval includes conditions which require correction/revision of the plans, authorization for the issuance of permit applications will not be forwarded to the Department of Codes Administration until four (4) copies of the corrected/revised plans have been submitted to and approved by staff of the Metropolitan Planning Commission for filing and recordation with the Davidson County Register of Deeds.